

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/725,647	BROWN, WILLIAM J.B.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Marie Patterson	3728	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Marie Patterson. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Chad Kleinheksel Reg 53141. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 08 September 2005.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-12.

Identification of prior art discussed: All applied art.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant proposes to amend the claims to include language directed towards the extent of the flange to expose the welt in the forefoot area and/or limitations to the shape/extent of the heel cradle. These limitations appear to overcome the use of Jayne which shows a flange completely around the welt to cover the welt and the heel cradle of Gilkerson does not extend up around the upper. Exact language will be considered upon formal filing subject to further search and/or considerations.